

Appl. No. 09/864,042  
 Amdt. Dated 09/26/05  
 Reply to Interview Summary of 9/08/2005

RECEIVED  
 CENTRAL FAX CENTER  
 SEP 28 2005

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application. No.	09/864,042	Confirmation No. 9969
Applicant	Viswanath Ananth	
Filed	5/22/2001	
TC/A.U.	2132	
Examiner	Jung W. Kim	
Docket No.	005019.P001	
Customer No.	8791	

Commissioner for Patents  
 PO Box 1450  
 Alexandria VA 22313-1450

## INTERVIEW SUMMARY RESPONSE

Sir:

This Amendment is in response to the Interview Summary mailed September 8, 2005. In the telephone interview of August 29, 2005, it was confirmed that the dynamically variable block size of Barbir did not cover the limitation of the instant claims wherein each block size varies in size from a previous block in response to variations of an internal state of the computing device caused by changes in the incoming plain text, since the variable block size is determined not by the incoming plain text, but by the output of a stream cipher. A discussion of RLE compression was initiated by the Examiner, and it was determined by the Examiner without substantive discussion by Applicant that Barbir did not apply as well.

707e1  
 JC  
 10/11/05